

FINAL Agree+Strongly Agree VS Disagree+Strongly Disagree

Q1 甲. 原則和價值 A. Principles and Values Q1. 2016年立法會及2017年行政長官的選舉方式的制度需要民主化，不接受政制原地踏步 The methods for forming the Legislative Council in 2016 and selecting the CE in 2017 require more democratic procedures. We cannot accept unchanged.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	123	71.1%	88.4%
頗贊成 Agree	30	17.3%	
頗反對 Disagree	6	3.5%	4.6%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	2	1.2%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	12	6.9%	
Total	173		

Q2. 普選安排須普及和平等，不能有篩選 (以致某些主流的政治意見領袖不能參選)或政治審查，妨礙和限制提名 The election procedures should be universal and equal, without any political screening (that prohibits certain political leader to participate) and should not restrict the right to nominate

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	109	63.0%	75.7%
頗贊成 Agree	22	12.7%	
頗反對 Disagree	10	5.8%	15.6%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	17	9.8%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	15	8.7%	
Total	173		

Q3. 普選安排須符合國際公約的相關規定 The arrangement of election by universal suffrage should comply with international conventions

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	105	60.7%	76.3%
頗贊成 Agree	27	15.6%	
頗反對 Disagree	8	4.6%	12.1%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	13	7.5%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	20	11.6%	
Total	173		

Q4. 公民提名(即由選民直接提名候選人)行政長官候選人，不論在甚麼情況下，都應該堅持 Under whatever circumstances, we should insist on civic nomination (i.e. the registered citizen voters nominate candidates directly) to CE candidates

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	61	35.3%	54.9%
頗贊成 Agree	34	19.7%	
頗反對 Disagree	18	10.4%	30.6%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	35	20.2%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	25	14.5%	
Total	173		

Q5. 應該與北京政府或其代表談判普選進程 It is necessary to negotiate with the Central Government or its representatives to achieve the universal suffrage arrangements possible

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	70	40.5%	76.3%
頗贊成 Agree	62	35.8%	
頗反對 Disagree	15	8.7%	14.5%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	10	5.8%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	16	9.2%	
Total	173		

Q6. 設計普選行政長官選舉辦法時，要按《基本法》及《全國人大常委會2007 決定》規定 When designing universal suffrage of the Chief Executive election, it should follow the provisions of Basic Law and the NPC Standing Committee decision in 2007.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	48	27.7%	63.6%
頗贊成 Agree	62	35.8%	
頗反對 Disagree	15	8.7%	12.1%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	6	3.5%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	42	24.3%	
Total	173		

Q7. 乙. 2017行政長官選舉提名委員會的組成 B. Composition and forming of Chief Executive election Nominating Committee Q7. 提名委員會大致按照現時的選舉委員會組成。(現時有四大界別共1200名選舉委員。)
The Nominating Committee may be formed with reference to the current provisions regarding the Election Committee (which composite of 1200 members from four sectors)

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	15	8.7%	26.6%
頗贊成 Agree	31	17.9%	

頗反對 Disagree	37	21.4%	64.2%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	74	42.8%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	16	9.2%	
Total	173		

Q8. 擴大提名委員會的選民基礎，包括起碼一百萬合資格選民。 Enlarge the electorate base of the Nominating Committee, for example, including at least 1 million eligible voters.

Q8. 擴大提名委員會的選民基礎，包括起碼一百萬合資格選民。 Enlarge the electorate base of the Nominating Committee, for example, including at least 1 million eligible voters.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	33	19.1%	43.4%
頗贊成 Agree	42	24.3%	
頗反對 Disagree	19	11.0%	46.2%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	61	35.3%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	18	10.4%	
Total	173		

Q9. 提名委員會只由地區直選產生的立法會議員組成。 Nominating Committee is suggested to make up of the direct elected Legco Council members only.

Q9. 提名委員會只由地區直選產生的立法會議員組成。 Nominating Committee is suggested to make up of the direct elected Legco Council members only.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	19	11.0%	42.2%
頗贊成 Agree	54	31.2%	
頗反對 Disagree	33	19.1%	46.2%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	47	27.2%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	20	11.6%	
Total	173		

Q10. 選民按地區分區選出提名委員會委員。 It is suggested to base on the geographical election to elect members of the Nominating Committee

Q10. 選民按地區分區選出提名委員會委員。 It is suggested to base on the geographical election to elect members of the Nominating Committee

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	32	18.5%	55.5%
頗贊成 Agree	64	37.0%	
頗反對 Disagree	25	14.5%	28.3%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	24	13.9%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	28	16.2%	
Total	173		

Q11. 在以公司或團體投票的選舉組別內，廢除公司及團體票並以個人票取代。 All corporate votes should be replaced by single, individual votes

Q11. 在以公司或團體投票的選舉組別內，廢除公司及團體票並以個人票取代。 All corporate votes should be replaced by single, individual votes

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	57,0578	33.0%	57.8%
頗贊成 Agree	43	24.9%	
頗反對 Disagree	11	6.4%	12.1%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	10	5.8%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	15	8.7%	
Total	173		

Q12.

丙. 2017行政長官選舉提名 C. Nominations for the Chief Executive Q12. 由公民提名，即取得3-5萬名以上選民聯署，即可提名，提名委員會只進行簡單確認手續。

To what extend do you agree with nomination of CE candidates by registered voters (ie. Civil nomination and by acquires 30000-50000 votes signatures). Nomination Committee only does a simple affirmation.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	55	31.8%	58.4%
頗贊成 Agree	46	26.6%	
頗反對 Disagree	19	11.0%	26.6%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	27	15.6%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	26	15.0%	
Total	173		

Q13. 由政黨提名，【即在地區直選總共得到一定比例的選票(如5%-10%)的參選名單聯合提名行政長官候選人】，提名委員會只進行簡單確認手續。

To what extend do you agree with CE candidate nomination by political organizations 【I.e. the political parties in geographical Legco election with 5-10% votes jointly nominate candid

Q13. 由政黨提名，【即在地區直選總共得到一定比例的選票(如5%-10%)的參選名單聯合提名行政長官候選人】，提名委員會只進行簡單確認手續。

To what extend do you agree with CE candidate nomination by political organizations 【I.e. the political parties in geographical Legco election with 5-10% votes jointly nominate candidates for CE】 Nomination Committee only does a

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	33	19.1%	51.4%
頗贊成 Agree	56	32.4%	
頗反對 Disagree	28	16.2%	31.8%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	27	15.6%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	29	16.8%	
Total	173		

Q14. 提名委員會以八份一或更低的比例讓委員聯署提名行政長官候選人，提名委員會只進行簡單確認手續。 The Nomination Committee can use one eighth or lower proportion of signature for nominating a CE candidate and Nomination Committee only does a simple affirmation.

Q14. 提名委員會以八份一或更低的比例讓委員聯署提名行政長官候選人，提名委員會只進行簡單確認手續。 The Nomination Committee can use one eighth or lower proportion of signature for nominating a CE candidate and Nomination Committee only does a simple affirmation.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	44	25.4%	59.0%
頗贊成 Agree	58	33.5%	
頗反對 Disagree	23	13.3%	22.5%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	16	9.2%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	32	18.5%	
Total	173		

Q15. 設立機制確保所有行政長官的候選人都是中央政府可接受的。 To establish a mechanism to ensure that all CE candidates are acceptable to the Central Government.

Q15. 設立機制確保所有行政長官的候選人都是中央政府可接受的。 To establish a mechanism to ensure that all CE candidates are acceptable to the Central Government.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	31	17.9%	42.2%
頗贊成 Agree	42	24.3%	
頗反對 Disagree	25	14.5%	45.7%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	54	31.2%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	21	12.1%	
Total	173		

Q16. 提名委員會透過以「機構提名」對行政長官候選人進行「篩選」，再由市民普選。 The Nomination Committee "screens" the CE candidates by using "organizational nominations" and then the "screened" candidates are elected by universal suffrage.

Q16. 提名委員會透過以「機構提名」對行政長官候選人進行「篩選」，再由市民普選。 The Nomination Committee "screens" the CE candidates by using "organizational nominations" and then the "screened" candidates are elected by universal suffrage.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	6	3.5%	18.5%
頗贊成 Agree	26	15.0%	
頗反對 Disagree	19	11.0%	70.5%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	103	59.5%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	19	11.0%	
Total	173		

Q17. 為行政長官候選人的數目設下限，例如5-6人，取得一定提名委員支持即可成為候選人，令不同政見都可以有代表。
To set the minimum number of candidates for the Chief Executive, say 5-6; to become CE candidates after getting a certain nominations so that different political views can be represented.

Q17. 為行政長官候選人的數目設下限，例如5-6人，取得一定提名委員支持即可成為候選人，令不同政見都可以有代表。
To set the minimum number of candidates for the Chief Executive, say 5-6; to become CE candidates after getting a certain nominations so that different political views can be represented.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	28	16.2%	56.1%
頗贊成 Agree	69	39.9%	
頗反對 Disagree	21	12.1%	24.3%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	21	12.1%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	34	19.7%	
Total	173		

Q18. 如「篩選」行政長官候選人，會打擊行政長官的認受性，影響管治。 For "screening" a Chief Executive candidate, it will affect the legitimacy of CE and his governance.
Q18. 如「篩選」行政長官候選人，會打擊行政長官的認受性，影響管治。 For "screening" a Chief Executive candidate, it will affect the legitimacy of CE and his governance.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	91	52.6%	71.7%
頗贊成 Agree	33	19.1%	
頗反對 Disagree	16	9.2%	18.5%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	16	9.2%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	17		
Total	173		

Q19. 需要「篩選」行政長官候選人，因為若不進行「篩選」，可能會選出與中央政府對抗的行政長官。 Need to "screen" Chief Executive candidates, because if no "screening", maybe the elected CE would confront with the Central Government.
Q19. 需要「篩選」行政長官候選人，因為若不進行「篩選」，可能會選出與中央政府對抗的行政長官。 Need to "screen" Chief Executive candidates, because if no "screening", maybe the elected CE would confront with the Central Government.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	24	13.9%	25.4%
頗贊成 Agree	20	11.6%	
頗反對 Disagree	38	22.0%	64.2%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	73	42.2%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	18	10.4%	
Total	173		

Q20. 2017行政長官選舉投票方式 Voting arrangements for electing the CE by universal suffrage Q20. 若在行政長官選舉中沒有候選人得票超過一半，由在第一輪選舉中得票最多的兩位候選人進入第二輪投票。
If no candidate in the CE election vote by more than half in the first round of polling, only two candidates obtaining the highest number of votes can enter into the second round polling.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	59	34.1%	82.1%
頗贊成 Agree	83	48.0%	
頗反對 Disagree	4	2.3%	5.8%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	6	3.5%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	21	12.1%	
Total	173		

Q21. 戊 2016立法會產生辦法D. Methods for forming Legislative Council in 2016Q21. 立即取消所有功能組別議席Abolish all Legislative Council functional constituency seats in 2016.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	94	54.3%	69.9%
頗贊成 Agree	27	15.6%	
頗反對 Disagree	16	9.2%	22.5%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	23	13.3%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	13	7.5%	
Total	173		

Q22. 如未能立即取消所有功能組別，應減低其影響力（包括減少議席數目及取消分組點票制度） If the Functional Constituency (FC) seats cannot be abolished in 2016, the following measures should be adopted: 1) Phase out the FCs seats in 2016 to pave the way for full universal suffrage in 2020 and 2) cancel the 'split voting system' in Legco.
Q22. 如未能立即取消所有功能組別，應減低其影響力（包括減少議席數目及取消分組點票制度） If the Functional Constituency (FC) seats cannot be abolished in 2016, the following measures should be adopted: 1) Phase out the FCs seats in 2016 to pave the way for full universal suffrage in 2020 and 2) cancel the 'split voting system' in Legco.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	103	59.5%	80.9%
頗贊成 Agree	37	21.4%	
頗反對 Disagree	13	7.5%	15.0%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	13	7.5%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	7	4.0%	
Total	173		

Q23. 降低成為功能界別選民的門檻，擁有各種專業資格或牌照的人、公司的董事、經理等管理階層自動成為合資格功能界別選民 Lower the threshold of FC voter registration by allowing those who with relevant professional qualifications, company directors and managers to become FC voter automatically.

Q23. 降低成為功能界別選民的門檻，擁有各種專業資格或牌照的人、公司的董事、經理等管理階層自動成為合資格功能界別選民 Lower the threshold of FC voter registration by allowing those who with relevant professional qualifications, company directors and managers to become FC voter automatically.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	57	32.9%	61.8%
頗贊成 Agree	50	28.9%	
頗反對 Disagree	22	12.7%	19.7%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	12	6.9%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	32	18.5%	
Total	173		

Q24. 降低成為功能界別選民的門檻，各行業在職人士自動成為該功能界別選民 Lower the threshold of FC voter registration by allowing workers in any occupation to become voter of relevant FC automatically.

Q24. 降低成為功能界別選民的門檻，各行業在職人士自動成為該功能界別選民 Lower the threshold of FC voter registration by allowing workers in any occupation to become voter of relevant FC automatically.

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	62	35.8%	67.1%
頗贊成 Agree	54	31.2%	
頗反對 Disagree	14	8.1%	18.5%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	18	10.4%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	25	14.5%	
Total	173		

Q25. 擴闊功能界別選民基礎，公司/團體票改為個人票 Expand the electorate base of FC and replace corporate votes by individual votes

Q25. 擴闊功能界別選民基礎，公司/團體票改為個人票 Expand the electorate base of FC and replace corporate votes by individual votes

非常贊成 Strongly Agree	82	47.4%	79.8%
頗贊成 Agree	56	32.4%	
頗反對 Disagree	6	3.5%	10.4%
非常反對 Strongly Disagree	12	6.9%	
中立/很難說 Abstain/ Neutral	17	9.8%	
Total	173		